

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/561,358	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHINOHARA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Michael J. Feely	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael J. Feely.

(2) James A. Baker (Reg. No. 44,520).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 August 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*all pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lehmann et al. (US Pat. No. 4,038,454)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Baker agreed to the proposed changes set forth in the Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael J Feely/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)